

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	, F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,633		08/01/2003	Gunter Lewentz	071308.0458 2771 EXAMINER	
31625	7590	02/08/2005			
BAKER I	BOTTS L.I	L.P.	GORMAN, DARREN W		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3752	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/632,633	LEWENTZ ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	<u> </u>	Darren W Gorman	3752					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address					
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION In time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	•	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is the Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes on the drawing(s) be held in abeyant prection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/01/03, 12/16/04</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/632,633

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on February 6, 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS filed on August 1, 2003 and the IDS filed on December 16, 2004 are hereby acknowledged and have been placed of record. Please find attached a signed and initialed copy of each PTO 1449.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the cutout having an "oval" shape, as recited in claims 5, 10, and 16, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. NOTE: Although Applicant describes (in the specification) two of the cutouts (36) in Figure 2 as being "oval" cutouts and a third cutout being "kidney-shaped", it appears in the drawing that all three cutouts are kidney shaped.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/632,633 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2, 6, 11, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 2 and 13, it is not clear how one would punch, drill, and stamp the cutout.

Regarding claims 6, 11, and 17, Applicant's stop element comprises more than one "edge region". For this reason, it is unclear which "the edge region" is being recited.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keiczek, USPN 4,202,500.

Regarding claims 7-10, Keiczek shows a fuel injector (see Figure 2) having a nozzle body (3), a valve needle assembly (9, 13) with a stop portion (no #) being displaceably disposed, a nozzle holder (1) with a pressure pin (no #) being displaceably disposed partially therein, a disk-shaped stop element (2) between the nozzle body and the nozzle holder, wherein the nozzle body and the nozzle holder are axially tensioned against one another, wherein first and second sealing surfaces of the stop element each incorporate at least one cutout (fuel bore 21), the cutout being implemented evenly opposite one another in the sealing surfaces, the cutout extending all the way through the stop element from the first sealing surface to the second sealing surface, the cutout further being deepened (20) by a predetermined axial depth in the first and second sealing surfaces, and the cutout appearing to have a circular shape (see again Figure 2). Regarding the recitation "for the purpose of increasing the contact pressure of the sealing surfaces" as recited in claim 7, the cutouts shown by Keiczek, regardless of intention, would inherently increase the contact pressure of the sealing surfaces because of the reduced surface areas of the contact faces.

Regarding method claims 1, 3-5, 12, and 14-16, the apparatus shown by Keiczek, as discussed above with regard to apparatus claims 7-10, when manufactured/assembled, anticipates

Art Unit: 3752

the recited method steps. Regarding the recitation "producing at least one cutout in the two sealing surfaces in a single manufacturing operation", it is clear that at least reference number 21 of Figure 2 would be cut in a single operation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiczek.

Keiczek teaches all of the claimed method steps as set forth in claims 1 and 12 (see above paragraph 7), however Keiczek is silent as to the process or processes for creating the at least one cutout in the stop element.

Examiner takes Official Notice that it is common and well known to create throughbores in a fuel injector using at least "drilling" techniques.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create the at least one cutout of Keiczek, using drilling techniques.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents to Khinchuk, Askew, and Coldren et al., are cited as of interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,633 Page 6

Art Unit: 3752

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Darren W Gorman whose telephone number is 571-272-4901.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4901. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darren W Gorman

Examiner

Art Unit 3752

DWG

January 27, 2005

David A. Scherbet

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700